PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

Date of mailing See FORT PCT/ISA/210 (PCT Rule 43bis.1)	From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY				TTY	A PARC.				
Applicant's or agent's file reference 306980 Applicant's or agent's file reference 306980 International application No. PCT/EP2004/052947 International Explication (IPC) or both national classification and IPC H04N5/33, B60R25/00, g02b7/06 Applicant ROBERT BOSCH GMBH International Explication or			AL SEARCHIN	o norman			PCT PON			
Date of mailing Class/month/year Class						· -	RITTEN OPINION OF THE			
Applicant's or agent's file reference 306980 International application No. PCT/EP2004/052947 International application No. PCT/EP2004/052947 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC H04N5/33, B60R25/00, g02b7/06 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC H04N5/33, B60R25/00, g02b7/06 Applicant ROBERT BOSCH GMBH 1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. I Basis of the opinion						(PCT Rule 43bis.1)				
See paragraph 2 below International application No. PCT/EP2004/052947 12.11.2004 Priority date (day/month/year) 12.11.2004 Priority date (day/month/year) 19.01.2004 O9.01.2004 O9.0										
International application No. PCT/EF2004/052947 International filing date (day/month/year) 12.11.2004 Priority date (day/month/year) 09.01.2004 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC H04N5/33, B60R25/00, g02b7/06 Applicant ROBERT BOSCH GMBH I. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. I Basis of the opinion Box No. II Priority Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis. I(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability: citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VIII Certain defects in the international application FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66. Ibis(b) that written opinions on this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 2 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.		-	gent's file referenc	e		FOR FURTHER A				
Description										
H04N5/33, B60R25/00, g02b7/06 Applicant ROBERT BOSCH GMBH 1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. I Basis of the opinion Box No. II Priority Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability: citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application 2. FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority of the than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions on this International Scarching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA. the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Forn PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.	1			947	_	'day/month/year)				
Box No. II Priority Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Forn PCT/ISA/220. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.	HO4N	H04N5/33, B60R25/00, g02b7/06 Applicant								
Box No. II Priority Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Forn PCT/ISA/220 refore the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.										
Box No. II Priority Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43his.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions to this International Scarching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Forn PCT/ISA/220. 3. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.	1.	This o	pinion contains in	dications relat	ting to the following items	s:				
Box No. IV Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention		\boxtimes	Box No. I	Basis of the	opinion					
Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Forn PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.		\boxtimes	Box No. II	Priority						
Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.			Box No. III	Non-establis	shment of opinion with re	gard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability				
Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application 2. FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. 3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.			Box No. IV	Lack of unit	y of invention					
Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application 2. FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Scarching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. 3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.			Box No. V	applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement						
Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application 2. FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Scarching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. 3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.			Box No. VI							
 FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Scarching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220. 			Box No. VII	Certain defe	cts in the international ap	pplication				
If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Scarching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Forn PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. 3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.			Box No. VIII	Certain obse	ervations on the internatio	nal application				
3. For further details, see notes to Form PC171SA/220.	If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.									
		For fu	rther options, see	Form PCT/IS.	√22 0.					
Name and mailing address of the ISA/EP Authorized officer	3. For further details, see notes to Form PC171SA/220.									
1	Name and mailing address of the ISA/EP					Authorized officer				
	Trans dir		address of the							
Facsimile No. Telephone No.	Facsimile	e No.				Telephone No.				

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/052947

Вох	No. I	Basis of this opinion
l.		regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was unless otherwise indicated under this item.
		This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language
	-	, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under
		Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2.		regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed ation, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a.	type of material
		a sequence listing
		table(s) related to the sequence listing
	b.	format of material
		in written format
		in computer readable form
	c.	time of filing/furnishing
		contained in the international application as filed.
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3.	Ш	In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4.	Add	tional comments:
1		

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/052947

Bo	x No. II Priority
1.	The following document has not yet been furnished: copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(a)).
	translation of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(b)).
	Consequently it has not been possible to consider the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has nevertheless been established on the assumption that the relevant date in the claimed priority date.
2.	This opinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim has been found invalid (Rules 43bis.1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international filing date indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.
3.	Additional observations, if necessary:
	The International Searching Authority has not been able to consider the
	validity of the priority claim because a copy of the priority document was
	not available to the International Searching Authority at the time that
	the search was conducted (Rule 17.1). This opinion has nevertheless been
	established on the assumption that the relevant date is the claimed
	priority date.
	·
İ	

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/052947

Box	c No. V	Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement	
1.	Statement		
	Novelty	(N) Claims 6, 11, 12	YES
		Claims $1-5$, $7-10$	_ NO
	Inventiv	ve step (IS) Claims	YES
		Claims 1-12	
	Industri:	al applicability (IA) $_{ m Claims}$ $1-12$	141.0
		al applicability (IA) Claims 1-12 Claims	- NO
2.	Citations an	nd explanations:	
	1 7	The present opinion makes reference to the following	
	C	documents:	
	E	D1: EP-A2-0 585 186 (EASTMAN KODAK COMPANY; EASTMAN	
		KODAK CO) 2 March 1994 (1994-03-02)	
	Ε	D2: DE 199 02 523 A1 (FUJI ELECTRIC CO., LTD.,	
		KAWASAKI, KANAGAWA, JP) 29 July 1999 (1999-07-	
		29)	
	Ε	03: EP-A1-0 108 484 (SAVAGE, JOHN M., JR) 16 May	
		1984 (1984-06-16)	
	Е	04: US-B1-6 414 299 (CHUREI KIYOKAZU) 2 July 2002	
		(2002-07-02)	
	Г	D5: DE 198 05 000 A1 (ADAM OPEL AG, 65428	
		RUESSELSHEIM, DE) 12 August 1999 (1999-08-12)	
	Ε	06: PATENT ABSTRACTS OF JAPAN volume 016, no. 094	
		(M-1219) 9 March 1992 (1992-03-09 & JP 03 273953	
		A (AISIN SEIKI CO LTD), 5 December 1991 (1991-	
		12-05)	
	2 I	The present application does not meet the	
	r	requirements of PCT Article 33(1) because the	
	S	subject matter of claim 1 is not novel within the	
	n	neaning of PCT Article 33(2).	

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/052947

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(l) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

- 2.1 Document D1 (see figures 2-4) discloses (the references between parentheses relate to said document):
 - optically functional structural unit (figure 3) containing an optical functional element (14, 24) using an optical system (20) and a housing (15, 12) surrounding said element, in which an object-side part, functioning as a lens, of the optical system is in the form of an integral component of the housing of the structural unit (column 6, lines 18-26).
- DEPENDENT CLAIMS 2-12
 Claims 2-12 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the PCT requirements for novelty and inventive step, see documents D1-D6.